



Viread Hep B Treatment May Reduce Liver Cancer Risk More Than Baraclude

People who took Viread were about two thirds less likely to develop HCC, but this could be related to other factors as well.

April 17, 2019 By [Liz Highleyman](#)

People with hepatitis B who were treated with Viread (tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) were found to be less likely to develop hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) than those treated with Baraclude (entecavir), according to results from a large observational study presented at the 2019 International Liver Congress last week in Vienna.

The analysis included nearly 30,000 people, but only a small proportion of them had used Viread. Although the researchers attempted to control for other factors that might affect outcomes, it may be too early to declare that Viread is a superior option.

Over years or decades, chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection can lead to liver cirrhosis, HCC and end-stage liver failure requiring a transplant. Nucleoside/nucleotide antivirals such as Viread, the newer Vemlidy (tenofovir alafenamide) and Baraclude can halt HBV replication during long-term treatment. While these medications [usually do not lead to a cure](#), keeping the virus suppressed reduces the risk of liver cancer.

Terry Cheuk Fung Yip of the Chinese University of Hong Kong presented findings from an observational study of people with hepatitis B who were treated with either Viread or Baraclude. This type of study does not randomly assign similar people to different treatments, but rather observes what happens in real-world clinical use. Because it is not randomized, people who use different treatments could have other differences that influence outcomes.

Viread and Baraclude are both potent and well-tolerated antivirals, and guidelines in many countries recommend them equally for first-line chronic HBV treatment, Yip said. However, a [recent Korean study](#) found that Viread was associated with a 39 percent lower risk of HCC.

Yip's analysis included adults with chronic hepatitis B at all Hong Kong public hospitals and clinics who were treated with Viread or Baraclude for at least six months between January 2008 and June 2018. People who already had HCC or other cancers at the start of the study were excluded. This was also the case for people with hepatitis C, hepatitis D or HIV coinfection and those previously treated with interferon or other nucleoside/nucleotide antivirals.

Of the more than 55,000 Hong Kong residents treated with Viread or Baraclude, 29,350 were included in this analysis. Most of the excluded individuals had pre-existing cancer, were coinfecting with hepatitis C virus, had previously tried other HBV therapies, started treatment before 2008 or had less than six months of follow-up.

In the selected group, just 1,309 people started treatment with Viread while 28,041 started with Baraclude. Comparing the two groups, people who used Viread were more likely to be women, were 10 years younger on average (43 versus 53) and had fewer other health problems including diabetes and high blood pressure. In addition, Viread recipients were less likely to have cirrhosis (3 versus 13 percent), less likely to be hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) negative, had a lower HBV viral load, lower liver enzymes and more favorable biomarkers of liver function. However, similar proportions achieved HBV DNA suppression on treatment.

During the follow-up period, eight people treated with Viread and 1,386 of those treated with Baraclude developed liver cancer. The cumulative five-year HCC incidence was 1.1 percent in the Viread group versus 7.0 percent in the Baraclude group. Looking at single factors in isolation, the biggest risk factors for HCC were male sex (about double the risk) and having cirrhosis (nearly six-fold higher risk).

In a multivariate analysis that accounted for several risk factors, people treated with Viread had about a third of the risk of developing HCC as those who used Baraclude (hazard ratio 0.32). The researchers attempted to control for differences between the populations using statistical techniques including imputation of missing data, propensity score weighting and competing risk analysis. Doing so brought the hazard ratio to 0.36, or about a 60 percent lower risk.

Yip noted that another study presented at the conference, this one from the United States, showed that Asian patients who used Viread rather than Baraclude had about a 30 percent lower risk of HCC, while non-Asian people who took Viread actually had a higher liver cancer risk.

“Tenofovir was associated with a significantly lower risk of HCC than entecavir in this large population of adults with chronic HBV infection,” Yip said in a [conference press release](#). “Although we recognize the inherent limitations of observational data, our findings are consistent with those of the Korean group.”

Given the differences in the populations treated with Viread versus Baraclude, the small proportion who received Viread and the low overall rate of liver cancer, it is premature to say that Viread is a better treatment option for hepatitis B. If further data confirm these findings, it could present a public health challenge because Baraclude is off patent and less expensive than Viread.

[Click here](#) to view the International Liver Congress abstracts.

[Click here](#) to learn more about hepatitis B.

